1 the business permission to apply to participate in the START-UP NY program if the commissioner determines that the business has demonstrated that it will create net new jobs in the tax-free NY area and that it or any related person has not eliminated any jobs in the state in connection with this expansion.

§ 4. This act shall take effect immediately.

PART VVV 7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

22

23

24

25

2.6

27

29

33

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

44

45

46

Section 1. Section 60.45 of the criminal procedure law is amended by adding a new subdivision 3 to read as follows:

3. (a) Where a person is subject to custodial interrogation by a public servant at a detention facility, the entire custodial interro-11 gation, including the giving of any required advice of the rights of the individual being questioned, and the waiver of any rights by the individual, shall be recorded by an appropriate video recording device if the interrogation involves a class A-1 felony, except one defined in article two hundred twenty of the penal law; felony offenses defined in 17 section 130.95 and 130.96 of the penal law; or a felony offense defined in article one hundred twenty-five or one hundred thirty of such law 19 that is defined as a class B violent felony offense in section 70.02 of 20 the penal law. For purposes of this paragraph, the term "detention 21 facility" shall mean a police station, correctional facility, holding facility for prisoners, prosecutor's office or other facility where persons are held in detention in connection with criminal charges that have been or may be filed against them.

(b) No confession, admission or other statement shall be subject to a motion to suppress pursuant to subdivision three of section 710.20 of this chapter based solely upon the failure to video record such interro-28 gation in a detention facility as defined in paragraph (a) of this subdivision. However, where the people offer into evidence a confession, 30 admission or other statement made by a person in custody with respect to 31 his or her participation or lack of participation in an offense speci-32 fied in paragraph (a) of this subdivision, that has not been video recorded, the court shall consider the failure to record as a factor, 34 but not as the sole factor, in accordance with paragraph (c) of this subdivision in determining whether such confession, admission or other statement shall be admissible.

(c) Notwithstanding the requirement of paragraph (a) of this subdivision, upon a showing of good cause by the prosecutor, the custodial interrogation need not be recorded. Good cause shall include, but not be limited to:

- (i) If electronic recording equipment malfunctions.
- (ii) If electronic recording equipment is not available because it was 42 43 otherwise being used.
 - (iii) If statements are made in response to questions that are routinely asked during arrest processing.
- (iv) If the statement is spontaneously made by the suspect and not in response to police questioning. 47
- (v) If the statement is made during an interrogation that is conducted 48 when the interviewer is unaware that a qualifying offense has occurred. 49
- (vi) If the statement is made at a location other than the "interview 50 room" because the suspect cannot be brought to such room, e.g., the 51 suspect is in a hospital or the suspect is out of state and that state is not governed by a law requiring the recordation of an interrogation.

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

35 36

37

41

42

43 44

45 46

47

49

50

53

(vii) If the statement is made after a suspect has refused to participate in the interrogation if it is recorded, and appropriate effort to document such refusal is made.

(viii) If such statement is not recorded as a result of an inadvertent error or oversight, not the result of any intentional conduct by law enforcement personnel.

(ix) If it is law enforcement's reasonable belief that such recording would jeopardize the safety of any person or reveal the identity of a confidential informant.

(x) If such statement is made at a location not equipped with a video recording device and the reason for using that location is not to subvert the intent of the law. For purposes of this section, the term "location" shall include those locations specified in paragraph (b) of subdivision four of section 305.2 of the family court act.

(d) In the event the court finds that the people have not shown good cause for the non-recording of the confession, admission, or other statement, but determines that a non-recorded confession, admission or other statement is nevertheless admissible because it was voluntarily made then, upon request of the defendant, the court must instruct the jury that the people's failure to record the defendant's confession, admission or other statement as required by this section may be weighed as a factor, but not as the sole factor, in determining whether such confession, admission or other statement was voluntarily made, or was made at all.

- (e) Video recording as required by this section shall be conducted in accordance with standards established by rule of the division of criminal justice services.
- § 2. Subdivision 3 of section 344.2 of the family court act is renumbered subdivision 4 and a new subdivision 3 is added to read as follows:
- 3. Where a respondent is subject to custodial interrogation by a public servant at a facility specified in subdivision four of section 32 305.2 of this article, the entire custodial interrogation, including the 33 giving of any required advice of the rights of the individual being 34 questioned, and the waiver of any rights by the individual, shall be recorded and governed in accordance with the provisions of paragraphs (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e) of subdivision three of section 60.45 of the criminal procedure law.
- § 3. Section 60.25 of the criminal procedure law, subparagraph (ii) of 38 paragraph (a) of subdivision 1 as amended by chapter 479 of the laws of 39 40 1977, is amended to read as follows:
 - § 60.25 Rules of evidence; identification by means of previous recognition, in absence of present identification.
 - 1. In any criminal proceeding in which the defendant's commission of an offense is in issue, testimony as provided in subdivision two may be given by a witness when:
 - (a) Such witness testifies that:
 - (i) He or she observed the person claimed by the people to be the defendant either at the time and place of the commission of the offense or upon some other occasion relevant to the case; and
- (ii) On a subsequent occasion he or she observed, under circumstances 51 consistent with such rights as an accused person may derive under the 52 constitution of this state or of the United States, a person or, where the observation is made pursuant to a blind or blinded procedure as defined in paragraph (c) of this subdivision, a pictorial, photographic, 54 55 <u>electronic</u>, filmed or video recorded reproduction of a person whom he or



she recognized as the same person whom he or she had observed on the first or incriminating occasion; and

- (iii) He or she is unable at the proceeding to state, on the basis of present recollection, whether or not the defendant is the person in question; and
- (b) It is established that the defendant is in fact the person whom the witness observed and recognized or whose pictorial, photographic, electronic, filmed or video recorded reproduction the witness observed and recognized on the second occasion. Such fact may be established by testimony of another person or persons to whom the witness promptly declared his or her recognition on such occasion and by such pictorial, photographic, electronic, filmed or video recorded reproduction.

7

10

13

14

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

31

32

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

47

49

51

- (c) For purposes of this section, a "blind or blinded procedure" is one in which the witness identifies a person in an array of pictorial, photographic, electronic, filmed or video recorded reproductions under circumstances where, at the time the identification is made, the public servant administering such procedure; (i) does not know which person in the array is the suspect, or (ii) does not know where the suspect is in the array viewed by the witness. The failure of a public servant to follow such a procedure shall be assessed solely for purposes of this article and shall result in the preclusion of testimony regarding the identification procedure as evidence in chief, but shall not constitute a legal basis to suppress evidence made pursuant to subdivision six of section 710,20 of this chapter. This article neither limits nor expands subdivision six of section 710.20 of this chapter.
- 2. Under circumstances prescribed in subdivision one of this section, such witness may testify at the criminal proceeding that the person whom he or she observed and recognized or whose pictorial, photographic, electronic, filmed or video recorded reproduction he or she observed and recognized on the second occasion is the same person whom he or she observed on the first or incriminating occasion. Such testimony, together with the evidence that the defendant is in fact the person whom the witness observed and recognized or whose pictorial, photographic, electronic, filmed or video recorded reproduction he or she observed and recognized on the second occasion, constitutes evidence in chief.
- § 4. Section 60.30 of the criminal procedure law, as amended by chapter 479 of the laws of 1977, is amended to read as follows:
- § 60.30 Rules of evidence; identification by means of previous recognition, in addition to present identification.

In any criminal proceeding in which the defendant's commission of an offense is in issue, a witness who testifies that (a) he or she observed the person claimed by the people to be the defendant either at the time and place of the commission of the offense or upon some other occasion relevant to the case, and (b) on the basis of present recollection, the defendant is the person in question and (c) on a subsequent occasion he or she observed the defendant, or where the observation is made pursuant to a blind or blinded procedure, as defined in paragraph (c) of subdivi-48 sion one of section 60.25 of this article, a pictorial, photographic, electronic, filmed or video recorded reproduction of the defendant, 50 under circumstances consistent with such rights as an accused person may derive under the constitution of this state or of the United States, and then also recognized him or her or the pictorial, photographic, electronic, filmed or video recorded reproduction of him or her as the same person whom he or she had observed on the first or incriminating occasion, may, in addition to making an identification of the defendant at the criminal proceeding on the basis of present recollection as the



1 person whom he or she observed on the first or incriminating occasion, also describe his or her previous recognition of the defendant and testify that the person whom he or she observed or whose pictorial, photographic, electronic, filmed or video recorded reproduction he or she observed on such second occasion is the same person whom he or she had observed on the first or incriminating occasion. Such testimony and such pictorial, photographic, electronic, filmed or video recorded reproduction constitutes evidence in chief.

3

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38 39

40

42

43

44

45

46

47

49

- § 5. Subdivision 6 of section 710.20 of the criminal procedure law, as amended by chapter 8 of the laws of 1976 and as renumbered by chapter 481 of the laws of 1983, is amended to read as follows:
- Consists of potential testimony regarding an observation of the defendant either at the time or place of the commission of the offense or upon some other occasion relevant to the case, which potential testimony would not be admissible upon the prospective trial of such charge owing to an improperly made previous identification of the defendant or of a pictorial, photographic, electronic, filmed or video recorded reproduction of the defendant by the prospective witness. A claim that the previous identification of the defendant or of a pictorial, photographic, electronic, filmed or video recorded reproduction of the defendant by a prospective witness did not comply with paragraph (c) of subdivision one of section 60.25 of this chapter or with the protocol promulgated in accordance with subdivision twenty-one of section eight hundred thirty-seven of the executive law shall not constitute a legal <u>basis to suppress evidence pursuant to this subdivision. A claim that a</u> public servant failed to comply with paragraph (c) of subdivision one of section 60.25 of this chapter or of subdivision twenty-one of section eight hundred thirty-seven of the executive law shall neither expand nor limit the rights an accused person may derive under the constitution of this state or of the United States.
- § 6. Subdivision 1 of section 710.30 of the criminal procedure law, as separately amended by chapters 8 and 194 of the laws of 1976, is amended to read as follows:
- Whenever the people intend to offer at a trial (a) evidence of a statement made by a defendant to a public servant, which statement if involuntarily made would render the evidence thereof suppressible upon motion pursuant to subdivision three of section 710.20, or (b) testimony regarding an observation of the defendant either at the time or place of the commission of the offense or upon some other occasion relevant to the case, to be given by a witness who has previously identified him or her or a pictorial, photographic, electronic, filmed or video recorded reproduction of him or her as such, they must serve upon the defendant a notice of such intention, specifying the evidence intended to be offered.
- § 7. Section 343.3 of the family court act, as added by chapter 920 of the laws of 1982, is amended to read as follows:
- § 343.3. Rules of evidence; identification by means of previous recognition in absence of present identification. 1. In any juvenile delinquency proceeding in which the respondent's commission of a crime is in issue, testimony as provided in subdivision two may be given by a witness when:
 - (a) such witness testifies that:
- 52 53 (i) he or she observed the person claimed by the presentment agency to 54 be the respondent either at the time and place of the commission of the crime or upon some other occasion relevant to the case; and



(ii) on a subsequent occasion he or she observed, under circumstances consistent with such rights as an accused person may derive under the constitution of this state or of the United States, a person, or, where the observation is made pursuant to a blind or blinded procedure as defined herein, a pictorial, photographic, electronic, filmed or video recorded reproduction of a person whom he or she recognized as the same person whom he or she had observed on the first incriminating occasion; and

- (iii) he <u>or she</u> is unable at the proceeding to state, on the basis of present recollection, whether or not the respondent is the person in question; and
- (b) it is established that the respondent is in fact the person whom the witness observed and recognized or whose pictorial, photographic, electronic, filmed or video recorded reproduction the witness observed and recognized on the second occasion. Such fact may be established by testimony of another person or persons to whom the witness promptly declared his or her recognition on such occasion and by such pictorial, photographic, electronic, filmed or video recorded reproduction.
- (c) For purposes of this section, a "blind or blinded procedure" is one in which the witness identifies a person in an array of pictorial, photographic, electronic, filmed or video recorded reproductions under circumstances where, at the time the identification is made, the public servant administering such procedure: (i) does not know which person in the array is the suspect, or (ii) does not know where the suspect is in the array viewed by the witness. The failure of a public servant to follow such a procedure shall be assessed solely for purposes of this article and shall result in the preclusion of testimony regarding the identification procedure as evidence in chief, but shall not constitute a legal basis to suppress evidence made pursuant to subdivision six of section 710.20 of the criminal procedure law. This article neither limits not expands subdivision six of section 710.20 of the criminal procedure law.
- 2. Under circumstances prescribed in subdivision one, such witness may testify at the proceeding that the person whom he or she observed and recognized or whose pictorial, photographic, electronic, filmed or video recorded reproduction he or she observed and recognized on the second occasion is the same person whom he or she observed on the first or incriminating occasion. Such testimony, together with the evidence that the respondent is in fact the person whom the witness observed and recognized or whose pictorial, photographic, electronic, filmed or video recorded reproduction he or she observed and recognized on the second occasion, constitutes evidence in chief.
- § 8. Section 343.4 of the family court act, as added by chapter 920 of the laws of 1982, is amended to read as follows:
- § 343.4. Rules of evidence; identification by means of previous recognition, in addition to present identification. In any juvenile delinquency proceeding in which the respondent's commission of a crime is in issue, a witness who testifies that: (a) he or she observed the person claimed by the presentment agency to be the respondent either at the time and place of the commission of the crime or upon some other occasion relevant to the case, and (b) on the basis of present recollection, the respondent is the person in question, and (c) on a subsequent occasion he or she observed the respondent, or, where the observation is made pursuant to a blind or blinded procedure, a pictorial, photographic, electronic, filmed or video recorded reproduction of the respondent under circumstances consistent with such rights as an accused person may



derive under the constitution of this state or of the United States, and then also recognized him or her or the pictorial, photographic, electronic, filmed or video recorded reproduction of him or her as the same person whom he or she had observed on the first or incriminating occasion, may, in addition to making an identification of the respondent at the delinquency proceeding on the basis of present recollection as the person whom he or she observed on the first or incriminating occasion, also describe his or her previous recognition of the respondent and testify that the person whom he or she observed or whose pictorial, photographic, electronic, filmed or video recorded reproduction he or she observed on such second occasion is the same person whom he or she had observed on the first or incriminating occasion. Such testimony and such pictorial, photographic, electronic, filmed or video recorded reproduction constitutes evidence in chief. For purposes of this section, a "blind or blinded procedure" shall be as defined in paragraph (c) of subdivision one of section 343.3 of this part.

§ 9. Section 837 of the executive law is amended by adding a new subdivision 21 to read as follows:

- 21. Promulgate a standardized and detailed written protocol that is grounded in evidence-based principles for the administration of photographic array and live lineup identification procedures for police agencies and standardized forms for use by such agencies in the reporting and recording of such identification procedure. The protocol shall address the following topics:
- (a) the selection of photographic array and live lineup filler photographs or participants;
- (b) instructions given to a witness before conducting a photographic array or live lineup identification procedure;
- (c) the documentation and preservation of results of a photographic array or live lineup identification procedure;
- (d) procedures for eliciting and documenting the witness's confidence in his or her identification following a photographic array or live lineup identification procedure, in the event that an identification is made; and
- (e) procedures for administering a photographic array or live lineup identification procedure in a manner designed to prevent opportunities to influence the witness.
- § 10. Subdivision 4 of section 840 of the executive law is amended by adding a new paragraph (c) to read as follows:
- (c) Disseminate the written policies and procedures promulgated in accordance with subdivision twenty-one of section eight hundred thirty-seven of this article to all police departments in this state and implement a training program for all current and new police officers regarding the policies and procedures established pursuant to such subdivision.
- 46 § 11. Section 722-e of the county law, as added by chapter 878 of the 47 laws of 1965, is amended to read as follows:
 - § 722-e. Expenses. All expenses for providing counsel and services other than counsel hereunder shall be a county charge or in the case of a county wholly located within a city a city charge to be paid out of an appropriation for such purposes. Provided, however, that any such additional expenses incurred for the provision of counsel and services as a result of the implementation of a plan established pursuant to subdivision four of section eight hundred thirty-two of the executive law, including any interim steps taken to implement such plan, shall be reimbursed by the state to the county or city providing such services. Such



plans shall be submitted by the office of indigent legal services to the director of the division of budget for review and approval. However, the director's approval shall be limited solely to the plan's projected fiscal impact of the required appropriation for the implementation of such plan, and his or her approval shall not be unreasonably withheld. The state shall appropriate funds sufficient to provide for the reimbursement required by this section.

- § 12. Section 832 of the executive law is amended by adding a new subdivision 4 to read as follows:
- 4. Additional duties and responsibilities. The office shall, in consultation with the indigent legal services board established pursuant to section eight hundred thirty-three of this article, have the following duties and responsibilities, and any plan developed pursuant to this subdivision shall be submitted by the office to the director of the division of budget for review and approval, provided, however that the director's approval shall be limited solely to the plan's projected fiscal impact of the required appropriation for the implementation of such plan and his or her approval shall not be unreasonably withheld:
- (a) Counsel at arraignment. Develop and implement a written plan to ensure that each criminal defendant who is eligible for publicly funded legal representation is represented by counsel in person at his or her arraignment; provided, however, that a timely arraignment with counsel shall not be delayed pending a determination of a defendant's eligibility.
- (i) For the purposes of the plan developed pursuant to this subdivision, the term "arraignment" shall mean the first appearance by a person charged with a crime before a judge or magistrate, with the exception of an appearance where no prosecutor appears and no action occurs other than the adjournment of the criminal process and the unconditional release of the person charged (in which event "arraignment" shall mean the person's next appearance before a judge or magistrate).
- (ii) The written plan developed pursuant to this subdivision shall be completed by December first, two thousand seventeen and shall include interim steps for each county and the city of New York for achieving compliance with the plan.
- (iii) Each county and the city of New York shall, in consultation with the office, undertake good faith efforts to implement the plan and such plan shall be fully implemented and adhered to in each county and the city of New York by April first, two thousand twenty-three. Pursuant to section seven hundred twenty-two-e of the county law, the state shall reimburse each county and the city of New York for any costs incurred as a result of implementing such plan.
- (iv) The office shall, on an ongoing basis, monitor and periodically report on the implementation of, and compliance with, the plan in each county and the city of New York.
- (b) Caseload relief. Develop and implement a written plan that establishes numerical caseload/workload standards for each provider of constitutionally mandated publicly funded representation in criminal cases for people who are unable to afford counsel.
- (i) Such standards shall apply to all providers whether public defender, legal aid society, assigned counsel program or conflict defender in each county and the city of New York.
- (ii) The written plan developed pursuant to this subdivision shall be completed by December first, two thousand seventeen and shall include interim steps for each county and the city of New York for achieving compliance with the plan. Such plan shall include the number of attor-



neys, investigators and other non-attorney staff and the amount of in-kind resources necessary for each provider of mandated representation to implement such plan.

3

5

8 9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

22

23 24

25 26

27

28 29

30

32

33

34

35

38

39

40

41

42

43

45

46

47

48

49

(iii) Each county and the city of New York shall, in consultation with the office, undertake good faith efforts to implement the caseload/workload standards and such standards shall be fully implemented and adhered to in each county and the city of New York by April first, two thousand twenty-three. Pursuant to section seven hundred twenty-two-e of the county law, the state shall reimburse each county the city of New York for any costs incurred as a result of implementing such plan.

(iv) The office shall, on an ongoing basis, monitor and periodically report on the implementation of, and compliance with, the plan in each county and the city of New York.

(c) Initiatives to improve the quality of indigent defense. (i) Develop and implement a written plan to improve the quality of constitutionally mandated publicly funded representation in criminal cases for people who are unable to afford counsel and ensure that attorneys providing such representation: (A) receive effective supervision and training; (B) have access to and appropriately utilize investigators, 21 interpreters and expert witnesses on behalf of clients; (C) communicate effectively with their clients; (D) have the necessary qualifications and experience; and (E) in the case of assigned counsel attorneys, are assigned to cases in accordance with article eighteen-b of the county law and in a manner that accounts for the attorney's level of experience and caseload/workload.

(ii) The office shall, on an ongoing basis, monitor and periodically report on the implementation of, and compliance with, the plan in each county and the city of New York.

(iii) The written plan developed pursuant to this subdivision shall be completed by December first, two thousand seventeen and shall include interim steps for each county and the city of New York for achieving compliance with the plan.

(iv) Each county and the city of New York shall, in consultation with the office, undertake good faith efforts to implement the initiatives to improve the quality of indigent defense and such initiatives shall be fully implemented and adhered to in each county and the city of New York by April first, two thousand twenty-three, Pursuant to section seven hundred twenty-two-e of the county law, the state shall reimburse each county and the city of New York for any costs incurred as a result of implementing such plan.

(d) Appropriation of funds. In no event shall a county and a city of New York be obligated to undertake any steps to implement the written plans under paragraphs (a), (b) and (c) of this subdivision until funds have been appropriated by the state for such purpose.

§ 13. This act shall take effect immediately; provided, however, that sections one and two of this act shall take effect April 1, 2018 and shall apply to confessions, admissions or statements made on or after such effective date; provided, further sections three through ten of this act shall take effect July 1, 2017.

PART WWW 51

Section 1. Section 1.20 of the criminal procedure law is amended by 52 53 adding a new subdivision 44 to read as follows:

